



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,598	06/12/2000	Richard Humpleman	SAM1.0065	7055

7590 01/29/2004

Kenneth L. Sherman
Sherman & Sherman
Seventeenth Floor
2029 Century Park East
Los Angeles, CA 90067

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, NHON D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/592,598

Applicant(s)

HUMPLEMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to amendment C, filed 11/03/2003.
2. Claims 1-39 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 14 and 27 are independent claims.

In the Amendment C, claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 25, 27, 28, 31, 33, and 38 are amended. This action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 6-15, 19-28 and 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. ("Takahashi", US 5,887,193).

As per independent claim 1, Takahashi teaches a method for providing a user interface for controlling devices that are currently connected to a network, the method comprising the steps of, for one or more of said devices:

obtaining device information from devices currently connected to the network (col. 17, line 67 – col. 18, line 4);

generating a user interface description in said one or more devices based at least on the obtained information, the user interface description in each device including at least one

Art Unit: 2174

reference associated with the device information in each of said devices currently connected to the network (col. 17, line 57 – col. 18, line 7); and

displaying one or more user interfaces each based on one of said one or more user interface descriptions, on one or more devices connected to the network capable of displaying a user interface, for user control of said devices that are currently connected to the network (col. 18, line 8 – col. 20, line 8).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches the step of displaying each user interface further includes the steps of:

using each reference in the corresponding user interface description to access the associated information in each device (col. 18, line 8 – col. 20, line 8); generating the user interface including device data corresponding to each device using the accessed information in each device; and displaying the user interface on said one or more devices capable of displaying a user interface (col. 17, line 57 – col. 18, line 7).

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches further comprising the steps of:

connecting at least one client device to the network capable of displaying a user interface; and displaying a user interface on the client device using the references in a user interface description, for controlling devices that are currently connected to the network (col. 18, line 8 – col. 20, line 8; in this example, Multimedia Controller is the client device).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches the device information in each device further includes a user control interface description for user interaction with the device (col. 17, line 62 – col. 18, line 7); and

step of displaying one or more user interfaces further includes the steps of upon detecting user selection of a device from one of said user interfaces, accessing and then displaying the control interface description in the corresponding device for user command and control of the device (col. 17, line 57 – col. 20, line 8).

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches the step of generating a user interface description further includes the steps of generating each user interface description such that the reference in that user interface description provides access to at least the information in each corresponding device (col. 18, line 8 – col. 20, line 8).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, wherein the step of generating a user interface description further includes the steps of generating each user interface description such that the user interface description further includes device data corresponding to each device based on the information obtained from each device (col. 17, line 57 – col. 18, line 7).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches the device information in each device includes device identification information (col. 34, lines 62-67).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 1, Takahashi teaches the device information in each device includes a user control interface description for user interaction with the device (col. 17, line 62 – col. 18, line 7).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 11, Takahashi teaches step of generating a user interface description further includes the steps of generating each user interface description such that each reference in that user interface description is to at least the user control interface description in each corresponding device (col. 18, line 8 – col. 20, line 8); and

step of displaying one or more user interfaces further includes the steps of upon detecting user selection of a device from one of said user interfaces, accessing and then displaying the control interface description in the corresponding device for user command and control of the device (col. 17, line 57 – col. 20, line 8).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 11, Takahashi teaches the step of generating a user interface description further includes the steps of generating each user interface description wherein that user interface description further includes device data corresponding to each device based on the information obtained from each device, the device data providing reference to the user control interface description in each device (col. 13, lines 36-64).

As per independent claims 14 and 27, they are similar in scope to claim 1; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claims 15 and 28, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 2; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claims 19 and 32, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 6; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 20 and 33, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 7; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 21 and 34, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 8; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 22 and 35, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 9; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 23 and 36, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 10; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 24 and 37, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 11; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 25 and 38, which are dependent on claims 24 and 37 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 12; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 26 and 39, which are dependent on claims 24 and 37 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 13; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3-5, 16-18 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Mitani (US #6,466,233).

As per claims 3, 4 and 5, which are all dependent on claim 1, Takahashi does not disclose the step of generating a user interface description further comprises the steps of: associating a hyper-text link with the device information of each of said devices currently connected to the network, the information in each device comprises an HTML page contained in that device, and the step of displaying the user interface further comprises the steps of displaying the user interface on a browser on said one or more devices capable of displaying a user interface. Mitani discloses hyper-text link HTML pages define sets of user interface functions for multiple devices, connected to a network, that enable user interaction and control of those devices (col. 6, line 4 – col. 7, line 12). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2174

use the teaching from Mitani of including hyper-text link HTML pages define sets of user interface functions for multiple devices, connected to a network, that enable user interaction and control of those devices in Takahashi's method since hyper-text link HTML pages would allow the devices to be remotely controlled from the Internet via HTTP protocol.

As per claims 16 and 29, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 3; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claims 17 and 30, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 4; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

As per claims 18 and 31, which are dependent on claims 14 and 27 respectively, they are similar in scope to claim 5; therefore, they should be rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM with every other Friday off.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kistine L Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen
January 14, 2004

Kistine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100